VOL-1\* ISSUE-10\* January- 2017 Remarking An Analisation

# Economic Development and Environmental Degradation in Uttarakhand

### Abstract

Economic development is often seen as a kind of universal care of all problems. At the same time, economic development may be the cause of environmental degradation because it represents a negative externality of economic activity. At present almost all people in the world are concerned with the quality of the natural environment; deterioration of which can result in decline in the national welfare through adverse effects on human health and ecosystems.

Economists, ecologists and government decision makers have long been interested in the relationship between economic growth and environmental quality. The argument is often made that economic growth is bad for the environment. On one side environmental degradation reduces economic productivity and growth and on the other side, economic development leads to environmental degradation. In order to address the question, we can study the relationship between economic development and environmental degradation in the context of the newly born State, Uttarakhand.

## Keywords: Economic Development, Environmental Degradation Introduction

The newly born state Uttarakhand came into existence on November 9, 2000 with area of 53,485 sq km. Nine of its 13 districts are mountainous while the two are partially plain and remaining two predominantly plains. Its inner mountain region is remote, fragile, marginal but rich in biodiversity.

The traditional economy of Uttarakhand was largely dependent on natural resources. It was based on subsistence agriculture, forest resources, artisanal craft and mining. During the statehood agitation, Uttarakhand was conscious about the mountain character of the region. The village women started to demand that their separate statehood should pursue a green development path so that denuded sloped would be reforested and afforestation and watershed development would revive the rain-fed rivers.

After new statehood government of Uttarakhand started conventional model of development with the single-minded goal of increasing wealth through industrialization by establishing State Industrial Development Corporation of Uttarakhand Limited (SIDCUL) in the major towns. It does not recognize the special character of the mountain state. The union government also announced economic concessions to promote industrialization but that too did not addressed heterogeneity of the state properly. This policy measures resulted in double digit annual growth rate as well as rapid extension of roads, new airports, helipads, ropeways etc. We can see these growth patterns in the following charts.

		o ana i oi oapita mooi	
S.N.	Year	Gross Income (in lakh rupees)	Per Capita Income (in lakh rupees)
1	2004-05	2228773	24726
2	2005-06	2695179	29423
3	2006-07	3267103	35111
4	2007-08	4027885	42619
5	2008-09	4863207	50674
6	2009-10	5804720	59584
7	2010-11	6750644	68292
8	2011-12	-	_

Source: Directorate of Industry, Uttarakhand

Nilu Kumari

Assistant Professor, Deptt.of Economics, V.S.K.C. Govt. PG. College, Dehradun, Uttarakhand

#### P: ISSN NO.: 2394-0344

#### E: ISSN NO.: 2455-0817

Table2. GSDP of	Uttarakhand	and	India	on
constant prices (	(2004-05)			

Year	Uttarakhand	India
2005-06	14.34	9.48
2006-07	13.59	9.57
2007-08	18.12	9.32
2008-09	12.65	6.72
2009-10	18.13	8.59
2010-11	10.02	8.91
2011-12	9.36	6.69
2012-13	7.45	4.47
2013-14	8.43	4.74
201/-15	9.34	_

Source: Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation19August2015(<u>www.statistictimes.</u> com>economy>gdp-growth....)

Table3. Quality of Life Indicators in Uttarakhand (as per households)

Category	2001	2011				
Drinking water in premises	44.8	58.3				
Electricity	60.3	87.0				
Toilets in premises	45.2	65.8				
Television Sets	42.9	62.0				
Computers	-	11.0				
Telephones	9.9	74.6				
Two Wheelers	11.9	22.2				
Four Wheelers	2.7	6.2				
Courses Mahanty 2012						

Source: Mohanty, 2012

Table 4. Sectoral Share of GSDP during 1993-94 to 2013-14 (on constant prices)

Sector	1993-94	2004-05	2013-14
Primary	40.1	23.48	10.26
Secondary	23.4	27.02	37.83
Tertiary	36.5	49.50	51.91
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0

Source: 1993-94: Trends in Agricultural Practises in Uttarakhand, Ganga Basin, Part-1

S.N.	ltem	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
1.	Established			
	Industry			
	A. Number of	147	203	211
	Industries			
	B.Capital	11667	23747	27962
	Investment			
	C. Number of	58762	79697	81633
	Employees			
2.	Functional			
	Industry			
	A. Number of	147	203	211
	Industries			
	B.Capital	11667	23747	27962
	Investment			
	C. Number of	58762	79697	81633
	Employees			
3.	Small			
	Industries			
	A. Number of	34084	35955	37928
	Industries			
	B.Capital	329880	485568	628048
	Investment			
	C. Number of	118915	142780	162453
	Employees			

## Table 5.Establishment of Industries in Uttarakhand

Source: Directorate of Industry, Uttarakhand

### VOL-1\* ISSUE-10\* January- 2017 Remarking An Analisation

In the above data we can see that all the growth indicators have increased rapidly in recent years, but there is serious imbalance in the structure of economy. In about two decades, from 1993-1994 to 2012-2013, the sphere of the Primary Sector has declined by 72 percent and growth in the Secondary and Tertiary Sectors has increased. Agriculture showed the lowest growth rate among all the sectors during 2004-2013. It has fallen down from 16.7 percent in 2004-2005 to 7.8 percent in 2012-2013 (on constant prices).

The manufacturing subsector recorded the highest growth rate 41.4 percent between 2004-13, but it also caused widespread pollution. The Uttarakhand Environmental Protection and Pollution Control Board (UEPPCB) issued notices to 374 Industrial units for environmental pollution in the State. These included manufacturing sectors like Tata Motors, Hindustan Unilever, Hero Honda, Bajaj Auto, Nestle, etc.

The rapid growth of tourism and commercial activity in Haridwar and other holy cities has caused serious air pollution due to particulate emission from motor vehicles (Joshi and Semwal, 2011). Illegal construction of hotels, restraunts and commercial centres has expanded on river banks.

Development of hydropower has serious environmental impacts. There is significant deforestation due to construction of roads, colonies, housing and offices, etc. Quarrying for construction materials, blasting for tunnels cause air, water and noise pollution. The Union Ministry of Environment and Forests estimates that about 45,000 hectares of forest land has been diverted non-forest uses in Uttarakhand. About 40 percent of this has been for road construction, HEPS and transmission lines. 75 percent of the diversion has occurred after the formation of the state.

While Uttarakhand has largely maintained its forest cover and so a modest increase in the cover, the protection of forests remains a constant challenge. Encroachments, illegal tree felling and unregulated collection of forest products are also responsible for destruction of forests. Every summer, hundreds of hectares of forests are affected by forest fires. Fires lead to loss of human lives and wildlife species and also cause damage to the ecosystem. Almost every forest fire is caused by human beings. Some areas are set on fire by local communities to reduce grass on the forest floor. Many fires are caused by travellers throwing cigarette buds on roads passing through forests. Many wildlife corridors have been choked due to unplanned development and this also contributes to increase in human-wildlife conflict. The Ministry of Environment and Forest Data reveals that over 30,500 hectares of forests have been diverted to non-forest use in Uttarakhand.

Thus, economic development after formation of this new state has generated wealth in the state. Industries and jobs have increased mainly in the plains. The faster growing economic sectors of the state have brought some growth to the mountain areas but have also seriously endangered ecological sustainability. Climate change and uncertainty of rain are critical issues in this disaster prone state. Winter

#### P: ISSN NO.: 2394-0344

#### E: ISSN NO.: 2455-0817

rain has almost disappeared and inner Himalayan peaks get much less snow than earlier. The frequency of extreme weather events is increasing. Highly uncertain weather in recent years has increased the vulnerability of agricultural production in the rain fed cultivation areas. Uncertainty of rain gives birth to another type of disaster like The Kedarnath Disaster of 2013.

The following table shows the uncertainty in temperature and rain in Uttarakhand in recent years:

S.N.	District/Centre	2008	2009	2010			
1.	Dehradun	2457.2	1624. 7	3253. 3			
2.	New Tehri	1087 .6	521.8	1526. 2			
3.	Pantnagar	2196.4	1013.5	1984.9			
4.	Mukteshwar	1513.3	1315.1	1701.3			

Source: State Meteorology Centre, Dehradun								
	Table 7. Temperature (in centigrade)							
S.	District/	ct/ 2008 2009 2010						
Ν.	Centre	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	

14.	Centre	Wax		Wax		Max	141111
1.	Dehradun	38.7	1.6	41.9	3.7	41.2	3.0
2.	Pantnagar	40.2	-0.6	42.0	0.8	41.0	0.6
3.	Mukteshwar	28.9	-5.4	30.3	-1.7	29.9	-1.3
4	New Tehri	32.0	-2.4	34.2	1.6	34.0	1.6

Source; State Meteorology Centre, Dehradun Objective of the Study

The main objective of the study is to analyse the current development pattern of Uttarakhand and its effect on environment of the state.

#### Methodology

The paper is based on secondary data, mainly books, research papers, reports, articles, etc. **Conclusion** 

On the basis of the above data analysis, we can say that the haphazard rapid development of Uttarakhand after formation as a new state has been at the cost of environmental degradation and minimization of welfare of the society as it has damaged health and life by pollution, climate change and uncertainty of temperature, rain, etc. This shows the close relationship between economic development and environment.

#### Suggestions

The environment must not be degraded further but improvement will be welcomed. If an environmental resource is damaged in one area, a resource of equal or greater value should be generated elsewhere .Ecologically sustainable development is the basic pre-requisitive for disaster mitigation. Equitable development will reduce the vulnerable population. Safety and sustainability has to be built in hydropower development, tourism and related activities like roads and building construction. The first priority of green development should be for improving forest cover in a manner that enables livelihoods to grow. We need to grow more trees in the hills and provide the infrastructure, roads and buildings as per hill requirements.

#### References

- 1. Chopra Ravi, Uttarakhand: Development and Ecological Sustainability, p2-13
- Jain T.R. And Khanna O.P., 'Developmental and Environmental Economics and International Trade', Population-Environment Linkage, p96-106

## VOL-1\* ISSUE-10\* January- 2017 Remarking An Analisation

- 3. Mishra S.K. and Puri S.K., 'Indian Economy', The Environment and Development p30-40
- nrega.nic.in/1forestworks-fri, Environment Issues Facing Uttarakhand Lonely Planet, July 5 2013
- Thakkar, H (2013) 'Uttarakhand: Existing under Construction and Proposed Hydropower Projects-How do they add to the disaster potential 9in Uttarakhand' SANDRP Bulletin VII n2 June-July 2013, p7-21